Text Searchable Document

TO BEB 13 file

MRID No. 416634-01

aire

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: PCNB. Shaughnessey number: 056502.
- TEST MATERIAL: PCNB Technical, Lot #GAB39011, CAS #82-68-8, 2. 99% purity, pale yellow fine crystal solid.
- 3. STUDY TYPE: Early Life Stage Test for Freshwater Fish. Species tested: Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).
- CITATION: Cohle, P. and R. Herzig. 1990. Early Life Stage 4. Toxicity of PCNB to Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) in a Flow-Through System. ABC Laboratory Project Number 36871. Prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri. EPA MRID No. 416634-01.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Kelly A. Carr Aquatic Toxicologist Toxikon Environmental Sciences

parameters (length and weight).

Signature: Yelly A. Can

Date: 5/21/91

APPROVED BY: 6.

7.

Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D. Senior Toxicologist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven, M.S. Supervisor, EEB/HED **USEPA**

signature: P. Kosalwat Date: 5/24/91

Signature: Aemy (Crafe 9/91
9/23/91

CONCLUSIONS: This study appears scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for a freshwater fish early life stage toxicity test. The maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) for PCNB was determined to be > 13 and < 32 μ g/L mean measured concentrations (geometric mean MATC = 20 μ g/L) based upon the most sensitive

8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A.

nohis



9. BACKGROUND:

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. <u>Test Animals</u>: Rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>) eggs and milt used in this test were obtained from Mt. Lassen Trout Farm, Red Bluff, California. Eggs from four females were fertilized with milt from six males at ABC Labs in soft water at 8°C. The eggs were allowed to water harden for approximately two hours before being added to the test system.
- B. Test System: The test was conducted in a continuous flow, proportional diluter which provided, for the first 75 days of the 95-day study, approximately 6.6 volume additions of test solution/dilution water every 24 hours. The last 20 days of the study, volume additions were increased to approximately 11.9 per 24 hours as a precaution against DO deprivation.

The diluter was equipped with a Hamilton^R Model 420 syringe dispenser for introduction of PCNB to the four replicate test chambers per concentration, adding a total volume of test solution/dilution water of about 500 mL per cycle. Test chambers were duplicate glass aquaria measuring 15.6 x 30.7 cm with a water depth of approximately 25 cm yielding an approximate continuous volume of 12 liters. The eggs were held in incubation cups made from glass tubing (9.0 cm in diameter by 14 cm high) with 16 mesh Nytex^R screening glued to the bottom with silicone. When the eggs reached the eyed stage, the incubation cups were vertically oscillated (3 to 6 cm) by means of a rocker arm driven by a low rpm electric motor. Test temperature was regulated by immersing the test aquaria in a water bath held at ~10°C.

During the first week of the study, the test system was shielded from excess light. On Day 8 post-hatch, incandescent lights were begun to simulate a 16-hour light/8-hour dark photoperiod. On Day 9, fluorescent lights were added to the system and a 30 minute dawn/dusk transition period was included. The mean light intensity (measured with a Licor, Inc. Quantum/Radiometer/Photometer Model LI-185B using an LI-210 SB Photometric sensor) was 111 ± 17.1 footcandles at the water surface.

Dilution water for the test was obtained from a deep, uncontaminated well. Part of the well water was passed through a reverse osmosis system and then blended back with

untreated water for a total hardness of 40-50 mg/L (as $CaCO_3$), alkalinity of 40-60 mg/L (as $CaCO_3$), conductivity of 90-150 μ S, and a pH of about 7.8.

- C. <u>Dosage</u>: <u>Ninety-five-day life-cycle test</u>. <u>Five nominal</u> test concentrations of 3.8, 7.5, 15, 30, and 60 μ g/L were tested.
- D. **<u>Design</u>**: Five concentrations, a control, and a solvent control were used in this study. The solvent was dimethylformamide (DMF) and was maintained at 6.9 μ L/L in the solvent control and 6.4 $\mu L/L$ in all test solutions. There were four replicate exposure chambers (two in each duplicate tank) for each concentration and the controls. Newly fertilized rainbow trout eggs were impartially distributed, by fives, to replicate chambers until each one contained 40 eggs, giving a total of 160 eggs per concentration. An additional 50 eggs were placed in separate incubation cups in the four replicate dilution water controls for determining viability. These eggs were removed on Day 11 and the embryo cleared with 10% glacial acetic acid solution to determine viability by the presence of a neural keel. The mean percent viability of the replicates was determined to be 99%.

Egg mortality was recorded daily and dead embryos were removed at that time. The eggs were shielded from excess light during incubation and after hatch, until Day 8 post-hatch, when lighting regimes were begun that continued for the remainder of the test. When hatching began (day 31), number of embryos hatched was recorded daily. Three days after hatching was completed (on day 36), the number of larval fry was reduced to 15 per replicate. Time to swim-up was recorded for each concentration. Abnormal behavior, physical changes, and mortalities were noted and recorded daily.

Feeding was begun 16 days post-hatch and consisted of live brine shrimp nauplii (Ocean Star International, Inc., Snowville, Utah); and Salmon starter (Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, Pennsylvania), first ground into a mash, then fed in small pellet form as the fish increased in size. The fish were fed three times a day. Loading biomass at test termination was calculated as 0.140 g/L.

Growth measurements (determined as standard length of the fry) were taken on test day 68 (35 days post-hatch) using the photographic method of McKim and Benoit. Length measurements were taken again at the end of the study (test day 95, day 62 post-hatch) after the fish were sacrificed

with tricane methanesulfonate (MS-222). Wet weights were also taken at test termination, after the fish were blotted dry to remove excess moisture.

Sample days for water quality readings and chemical analysis were on days 0, 1, 7, and every 7 ± 2 days throughout the test including the final day of the study. On each sample day, temperature, and DO were measured in the A replicate of each concentration and the controls. Conductivity, pH, hardness, and alkalinity were measured in the A replicate of the dilution water control, the low and the high test concentrations. In addition, temperature was measured twice daily from a single test chamber and continuously by a data logger. No mention was made as to which test chamber measurements were taken from for the twice daily readings or where the probe for the continuous temperature readings was located.

Samples for chemical analysis were collected on sample days from all test concentrations, the dilution water control, the solvent control, and the diluter stock solution. The 25-mL stock at the diluter was kept in an amber bottle and connected to the dispensing syringe with Teflon tubing. This stock was replenished when necessary from a larger stock volume stored under refrigeration.

Statistics: The statistical analysis for this test was performed using Systat™ (Version 3.0) software package and analyzed as appropriate for a nested experimental design. Statistical significance was at the 0.05 level. There was no statistically significant differences found between the dilution water control and the solvent control data in either the 2 x 2 contingency table (frequency) analysis used for hatch and fry survival or in the t-tests used for length and weight data. Since percent hatch, length and weight were slightly greater in the solvent control, it was used for analysis with the test concentrations as the most rigorous comparison. The 2 x 2 contingency table analysis was used for hatch and survival comparison to all concentrations. Effect on hatchability and fry survival was demonstrated in the $52-\mu g/L$ concentration, therefore the growth data for that concentration were not included in the length and weight analysis. An ANOVA was performed on the length and weight data followed by Tukey's HSD means comparison test. Only fish exposed to 32 µg/L showed a statistically significant reduction in length and weight. Although not included in the analysis, fish exposed to 52 μ g/L showed considerably decreased lengths and weights as compared to the rest of the test levels (Table 10, attached).

12. REPORTED RESULTS: The mean measured PCNB test concentrations ranged from 79 to 107% of nominal. Five mean measured concentrations of the test substance were tested: 3.0, 6.5, 13, 32, and 52 μ g/L (Table 4, attached). effect of PCNB on rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) hatchability, survival, and growth is presented in Table 10. Statistically significant effects on hatchability and fry survival were seen only at 52 μ g/L. Significant growth effects were seen down to 32 μ g/L. Swim up data were not statistically analyzed, but it was stated that a very noticeable delay in swim-up was seen at 52 μ g/L and that a somewhat delayed swim-up appeared to occur at 32 µg/L. Sublethal effects, both physical and behavioral, were noted and appeared with the greatest variety and frequency at 52 μg/L, the only concentration at which the effects were considered biologically significant.

Control water parameters for the duration of the test ranged: for DO, 9.3-10.4 mg/L; temperature, 9.2-11.1°C; pH, 7.6-8.2; conductivity, 90-390 uS/cm; alkalinity, 46-268 mg/L; and hardness, 38-230 mg/L. These parameters were measured every seven days during the test in the dilution water control, the low concentration, and the high concentration, as recorded in Table 7 (attached).

Temperature throughout the test ranged from 9.0 to 11.4°C. DO concentrations were from 9.0 to 10.9 mg/L (83 to 101% of saturation). The pH ranged from 7.6 to 8.2 in the control, low, and high concentrations. Conductivity ranged from 80 to 130 μS (except days 56 and 57 when it ranged from 130 to 390 μS). Hardness (as CaCO3) was from 38-48 mg/L and alkalinity (as CaCO3) was from 46-60 mg/L (except days 56 and 57 when they were 70 to 230 mg/L and 80 to 268 mg/L, respectively). The reason for the deviations on days 56 and 57 was a malfunction in the dilution water system that allowed non-blended well water to enter the test system. No deleterious effects were noticed by the researchers.

The only test concentration that had a statistically significant reduction in hatchability or fry survival was 52 μg/L. Sublethal effects were also most notable at this concentration. Growth was significantly affected at 32 μg/L. The maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) was determined by taking the geometric mean of the NOEC (the no-observed-effect concentration) at 13 μg/L and the LOEC (the lowest-observed-effect-concentration) at 32 μg/L for an MATC of 20 μg/L.

A GLP compliance statement was included in the report. The study was audited and the final report reviewed by ABC Laboratories' Quality Assurance Unit. A statement of quality assurance was included in the report, indicating that the study was conducted in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice Standards as set forth in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 160.

14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

- A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: Test procedures were in accordance with protocols recommended by the Guidelines, but deviated from the SEP as follows:
 - o The SEP recommends a dilution water hardness of 40 to 48 mg/l as CaCO₃. During most of the study ABC was within the guidelines, but on days 56 and 57 of the study hardness reached a high of 230 mg/L.
 - o The SEP recommends a dilution water pH of 7.2 to 7.6. In this study the pH was from 7.6 to 8.2
- B. Statistical Analysis: The reviewer used the EPA Dunnett's statistical computer program to determine significance in percent hatch and percent survival following arcsine percentage transformation of percentages. The treatment means were compared to the solvent control means to establish statistical significance. Statistical analysis of length and weight data taken on days 68 and 95 of the test were determined as follows: first a two-tailed test was run to determine statistical significance between the control and solvent control, and then an ANOVA for unequal sample sizes was performed using the solvent control against the test concentrations (and excluding the 52 μ g/L concentration). All statistical analysis was performed at the 0.05 level of significance. Finally the maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) of PCNB was determined.
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: Dunnett's analysis of the percent hatchability and percent survival showed significance only at the 52 μ g/L concentration. Using the two-tailed t-test, no significant difference was found between the control and solvent control results for growth. Analysis of length and weight data showed significance at the 32 μ g/L concentration. The MATC was determined to be > 13 and < 32 μ g/L mean measured

concentration (geometric mean MATC = 20 $\mu g/L$). All analysis by the reviewer corroborated the results given by ABC Laboratories.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: Core.
- (2) Rationale: N/A.
- (3) Repairability: N/A.
- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER: Yes, 5-21-91.

Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measurements for the PCMB Early Life Stage Toxicity Study with Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

Dilution Water So	0.1	Solvent Control	Leve (3.8	Level-1 a (3.8 µg/L)	Leve (7.5	Level-2 a (7.5 µg/L)	Leve (15 µ	Level-3 (15 µg/L)	130 L	Level-4 a (30 µg/L)	(60	Level-5 a (60 µg/L)
Temp. ^c D.0.		Temp.	D.0.	Temp.	D.O. mg/L	Temp.	D.O. mg/L	Temp.	D.0.	Temp.	D.0.	.c
		11.0	6.7	11.0	9.6	10.7	7.6	11.2	9.7	11.3	6.6	17:17
		9.6	9.5	9.6	6.7	9.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	8.6	8.6	9.7
		10.4	9.7	10.3	6.7	10.5	6.7	10.5	6.7	10.5	6.6	10.5
		10.7	6.6	10.5	10.1	10.8	6.6	10.9	6.6	10.9	10.1	10.8
		10.9	7.6	10.8	8.6	10.9	6.7	11.1	6.7	11.0	10.0	11.0
		10.4	10.0	10.4	10.3	10.4	8.6	10,5	6.7	10.6	ο ∞	10.5
		10.7	10.4	10.8	10.4	10.7	10.3	11.0	10.4	11.1	10.5	11.0
		11.0	10.0	11.2	10.0	11.2	10.0	.11.3	10.0	11.3	10.3	11.3
		10.2	10.5	10.1	10.9	10.0	10.9	10.3	10.8	10,3	10.9	10.2
		10.8	6.6	10.8	10.0	10.8	6.6	11.0	6.6		10.1	10.9
		10.7	10.3	10.7	10.3	10.7	10.2	10.8	10.2	10.8	10.4	01
		10.5	9.6	10.4	9.5	10.4	9.1	10.4	9.3	10.6	9.6	10.5
		10.7	9.2	10.7	9.5	10.9	9.1	10.8	9.5	11.0	6.7	10.7
		10.1	7.6	10.1	9.5	10.1	9.5	10.1	9.5	10.3	10.0	10.2
		10.1	0.6	10.2	9.2	10.2	0.6	10.3	9.1	10.4	6.7	10.4
9.2		4.6	6.7	9.1	9.5	9.2	9.6	9.5	9.6	9.3	10.0	9,
		•				~		,				

and 0.6 recorded during twice daily temperature measurements were as temperatures and maximum 11.4°C, respectively. Minimum Note:

Dissolved oxygen saturation (corrected for altitude of Columbia, MO) at temperatures of 9, 10, and 11°C is 11.0, Dissolved oxygen and temperature measurements in this table are from the A 10.8 and 10.6 mg/L, respectively. replicate chambers.

a Nominal test concentrations.

Measured with a Nester Instruments Dissolved Oxygen/BOD Meter, Model No. 8500 YSI Model 54A Oxygen Meter. D.O. = dissolved oxygen.

Measured with a Mercury Thermometer and a VWR Scientific, Inc. Digital Thermometer. Temp. = temperature.

Water Quality Measurements From the PCMB Early Life Stage Toxicity Study With Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

		Dilution Water Contro	Vater Con	trol	I		Low Conc	Low Concentration	D		High Co	High Concentration	no
Study Day	pHa	Cond.b (µS/cm)	Alk. ^c (mg/L)	Hard. ^d (mg/L)	□	H	Cond. (uS/cm)	Alk. (mg/L)	Hard.	Hd	Cond. (µS/cm)	Alk. (mg/L)	Hard. (mg/L)
, 0	7.7	100	54	84	1	8.2	100	54	48	7.8	100	54	87
	7.8	100	1	-	1,	7.9	100		1	8.0	100	-	-
7	7.9	06	56	48	œ	3.0	80	54	87	8.0	80	. 26	87
14	7.9	90	26	94	1	6.7	06	56	97	7.9	90	26	94
21	8.0	110	56	48	ω	3.1	100	56	48	8.1	100	56	78
28	0.8	06	50	40	ω	3.0	80	50	40	8,0	80	50	70
35	8.0	100	54	949	ω	3.0	90	54	94	0.8	90	54	94
4.2	8	110	54	48	ω.	3.0	100	54	784	8.0	100	54	87
64	8.0	100	52	44		3.0	06	52	777	8.0	06	52	777
56	8.2	(390)	(397)	(230)		8.2	380	(268)	(230)	8.7	(380)	(268)	(230)
57		130	80	70	ω	3.0	140	80	74	0 8	140	80	72
58	7.8	100	54	87		. 8	06	56	78	7.8	06	54	947
63	7.8	90	84	42		8.2	06	847	42	7.9	06	78	42
70	8.1	06	54	777	ω	3.1	06	54	777	 	06	52	84
77	8.1	06	09	7 9 7	ω	3.1	06	26	77	8.1	06	58	77
83	0.8	130	48	38	ω.	3.0	130	94	4.2	0.8	125	48	70
91	7.9	111	48	42	1~	8.7	125	84	4.2	7.8	122	48	42
95	7.6	108	94	07		9.	108	48	07	7.7	107	94	70

a pH measured with a Beckman \$12 pH/ISE Meter.

Measured with a YSI Model 33 S-C-T Meter or a Corning Check Mate 90 Conductivity/TDS The measurement unit µS/cm is equivalent to µmhos/cm. = conductivity. System. b Cond.

 $^{\rm c}$ Alk. = alkalinity. Total (as CaCO $_{\rm 3}$) using a colorimetric titration.

Total (as CaCO₃) using a colorimetric titration. d Hard. = hardness. Note: Measurements of water quality parameters were from the A replicate chambers of the control, low and high test concentrations.

Egg Hatchability, Fry Survival, Standard Length and Wet Weight of Rainbow Trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>) Exposed to PCNB

	8	35 Days Post-Hatch	t-Hatch		62 Days Post-Hatch	atch
Mean Measured PCNB		T.	Mean Stan. Tenoth	بر بر	Mean Stan Lenoth	Mean Wet Weight &
Concentrations (ug/L)	Hatchability ^a (% Hatch)	Survival	& Stan. Deviation	Survival (%)	& Stan. Deviation (mm)	Stan. Deviation (g)
Dilution Water Control	88	100	28.8 ± 1.94	100	42.5 ± 4.47	1.183 ± 0.383
Solvent Control	68	100	29.3 ± 1.93	100	43.7 ± 4.00	1.301 ± 0.375
3.0	96	100	29.1 ± 1.53	100	43.1 ± 3.23	1.238 ± 0.293
6.5	92	100	29.4 ± 2.09	86	43.9 ± 4.07	1.337 ± 0.347
13	96	100	29.1 ± 1.88	100	43.8 ± 3.11	1.297 ± 0.323
32	63	100	28.2 ± 1.76*	100	41.7 ± 3.64*	1.074 ± 0.304*
52	73*	75*	23.3 ± 2.87^{b}	73*	32.4 ± 5.67^{b}	0.475 ± 0.215^{b}

*Indicates statistically significant (P40.05) reduction when compared to solvent control.

a Percent hatch calculated from day 39 biological observations data.

 $^{
m b}$ Since a hatchability and survival effect had been demonstrated at the $52~{
m \mu g/L}$ test level, the growth data for this level was not included in length and weight analysis.

		•	•
			*•
Shaughnessey # 056502	Chemical Name PCNB Chemical Class	Page_	of
Study/Species/Lab/ Chemical MRID # % a.i.	Results	Reviewer/ Date	Validation Status
Chronic Fish 99	Concentrations Tested (ppb) = 3.0, 6.5, 13, 32, & 52		
Species: Oncorhynchus mykiss	MATC = > 13 < 32 pp b.	<u>KAC</u> 5-21-91	CORE
Lab: ABC Laboratories	Effected Parameters = Length and Weight at 32 and 52 ppb		
MRID # 416634-01	Control Mortality (%) = 100 Solvent Control Mortality (%) = 100 Comments: Concentrations reported as mean measured concentrations of PCNB.		
Chronic Invertebrate	Concentrations Tested (pp) =		
Species:	MATC = > pp		
Lab:	Effected Parameters =		
MRID #	Control Mortality (%) - Solvent Control Mortality (%) - Comments:		

Summary Statistics and ANOVA

Mone

	* * Sec. 2 and	i wi maka kali	1 7 t 1 1 t	
Group	n	Mean	s.d.	cv%
= control	<u></u>	70.3400	1.7253	2.5
2	2	80.3950	4.6881	5.8
3	2	73.4250	.9687	1.3
4	2	78,2400	3.7618	4.8
**************************************	2	74.8600	3.1396	4.2
6*	2	58.5150	3.2315	m m

Transformation =

Minumum detectable difference for Dunnett's test = -8.974875This difference corresponds to -12.76 percent of control

Between groups sum of squares = 603.699142 with 5 degrees of freedom.

Error mean square = 10.057358 with 6 degrees of freedom.

Bartlett's test p-value for equality of variances = .869

EPA Dunnett's computer program analysis of hatchability.

XAC 4/23/91

^{*)} the mean for this group is significantly less than the control mean at alpha = 0.05 (1-sided) by Dunnett's test

Summary Statistics and ANOVA

None

	11 42(1122	ordinacion	1.44**** 1.1 600	
Group	m	Mean	s.d.	cv%
1 = control	2	90.0000	.0000	. ()
2	2	90.0000	.0000	. O
3		90.0000	.0000	. O
4	2	90.0000	. 0000	. O
5		90.0000	.0000	. 0
6*	2	61.9250	18.4908	29.9

Transformation =

*) the mean for this group is significantly less than the control mean at alpha = 0.05 (1-sided) by Dunnett's test

Minumum detectable difference for Dunnett's test = -21.363259This difference corresponds to -23.74 percent of control

Between groups sum of squares = 1313.676042 with 5 degrees of freedom.

Error mean square = 56.985208 with 6 degrees of freedom.

EPA Dunnett's computer program analysis of percent survival do Ray 168. KAC 4/23/91

penbday95 Summel

Summary Statistics and ANOVA

None

Transformation =

	Group	n	Mean	s.d.	cv%
1	= control		90,000	.0000	, ()
	2	Z	90.0000	.0000	" O
	3	2	84.7650	7.4034	8.7
	4		90.0000	.000	. 0
	5	2	90.0000	, 0000	. 0
	6*	2	60.9450	19.8768	32.6
Ţ	2 3 4 5	2 2 2 2 2	90.0000 84.7650 90.0000 90.0000	.0000 7.4034 .0000 .0000	.0 8.7 .0

*) the mean for this group is significantly less than the control mean at alpha = 0.05 (1-sided) by Dunnett's test

Minumum detectable difference for Dunnett's test = -24.505700This difference corresponds to -27.23 percent of control

Between groups sum of squares = 1351.261800 with 5 degrees of freedom.

Error mean square = 74.982750 with 6 degrees of freedom.

EPA Dunnett's computer program analysis of percent survival to Day 95. Sec 4/23/91

for Rength 35-Day Post Hotch Control rs. Solvent Control T= 1.65515+ J-dest: for length 102 - Day Post Harch Control no Solvent Control T= 1.7289 for weight 62-Day Post Natch Confrol vs. Solvent Confrol T= 1.70511 J-value for 2-sided T-xest from Hables = 2.000 Therefore none of these tests show significant difference between the Control and Folsent Control. The calculated maximum allowable topicant concentration

MATC = (log LOEC + log NOEC) mean

= (1.11+ + 1.505) + 2 = 20.4 m/L

Durnetts analysis performed on length and weight data using numbers from ANOVA analysis.

XAC 4/23/91

PCNB

1 35 - Day Post - Hotel Length:

$$d' = t \sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{r_L} + \frac{1}{r_j}\right)}$$

p= 4 t=2.16

error of = 295

60 diservations for all dieatments

mean CH Light - mean Six. Light (mm)

$$29.3 - 29.1 = 0.2$$
 $3 + 1$

$$29.3 - 29.4 = -0.1$$
 Let 2

@ 62 - Day Post - Hotch Leigth

$$d' = t \sqrt{sa(\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{r_j})}$$

error
$$df = 290$$

 $p = 4$
 $t = 2.16$

mean Col Length - mean sot. Length (mm)

$$43.7 - 43.1 = 0.6$$
 141

59 obs. for fox I + 2

$$ba-Day \text{ foot-Attal Weights:}$$

$$error \text{ if } = 390$$

$$d' = \pm \sqrt{s^2(r_1 + r_2)}$$

$$= 2.16 \sqrt{0.1086535(sq + sq)}$$

$$= 0.1310885$$

$$men \text{ ck ut.} - mean \text{ it. ut. (s)}$$

$$1.301 - 1.337 = -0.036 \text{ it. 1}$$

$$1.301 - 1.337 = -0.036 \text{ it. 2}$$

$$d' = 2.16 \sqrt{0.1086535(sq + sq)}$$

$$= 0.131652 + 1.301 - 1.297 = 0.004 \text{ it. 3}$$

$$d' = 2.16 \sqrt{0.1086535(sq + to)}$$

$$= 0.1305412$$

$$1.301 - 1.074 = 0.227 * 44.4$$

File name = lgth62d.RPT Date of report : 04-09-1991

ANOVA FOR UNEQUAL REPLICATES

TREATMENT# 1

OBSERVATION# 43.15 **OBSERVATION#** === 43.07 OBSERVATION# 3 47.66 42.79 **OBSERVATION#** d. **OBSERVATION#** 38.43 **OBSERVATION#** 42.76 6 -----**OBSERVATION#** 7 48.17 **OBSERVATION#** 45.49 8 **OBSERVATION#** 47.96 **OBSERVATION#** 51744 11866 46.34 10 **OBSERVATION#** 11 46.57 **OBSERVATION#** 12 37.7 ***** **OBSERVATION#** 13 51.34 43.49 **OBSERVATION#** 14 *** **OBSERVATION#** 15 40.47 **OBSERVATION#** 16 === 43.51 **OBSERVATION#** 17 36.92 ***** 44.38 OBSERVATION# 18 **** OBSERVATION# 19 48.52 **** OBSERVATION# 20 **** 46.78 **OBSERVATION#** 21 44.12 **OBSERVATION#** 22 :::: 49.79 **OBSERVATION#** 23 39,29 **OBSERVATION#** 24 222 43.18 **OBSERVATION#** 25 **** 46.03 OBSERVATION# 26 46.98 :::: **OBSERVATION**# 27 44.31 **OBSERVATION#** 28 **** 38,55 **OBSERVATION#** 29 46.07 **OBSERVATION#** 42.75 30 ---**OBSERVATION#** 31 43.26 **OBSERVATION#** 32 ----41.24 OBSERVATION# 33 ***** 49.24 **OBSERVATION**# 34 ***** 45.01 **OBSERVATION#** 35 :::: 46.89 **OBSERVATION#** 36 **** 37.69 **OBSERVATION#** 37 :::: 46.65 **OBSERVATION#** 38 ----34.92 OBSERVATION# 39 43.23 OBSERVATION# 40 ----45.33 OBSERVATION# 41 42.82 OBSERVATION# 42 41.32 **** **OBSERVATION#** 43 50.24 **OBSERVATION#** 44 43.76

ANOVA analysis of length of the test animals on Day led post-Radch (day 95 of test) using Solvent Control in place of Control.

4 0 4 6 6 4 7 6 6 7 7 6 6 7 7 6 6 7 7 6 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7		O	in co	0.00	Ţ)) ()	् च ()	4.72	Q (ח ה	. 4 . 0 . 0		*	# #		*	#	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	#	9	; 2		2			=			=	=				-		. n	21	
		-	H	11	11	II	ll II	11	!!	11	II II	II	H	11 11	l II	li	11	ii I	l li	II	11 1		44	II I		H	11 1	1 11	II	11]]	1 11	11	[]	H	II II	11	II	11
4 4 4 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		-ga-	N	(r)	ব	ln v	0 h	. co	ញា	<u></u>	(^	10	য় ! ল	U T) <u>N</u>	. <u>0</u>	(n (Ş F	4 (N 4 (N	(N)	01 C 4 R	4 N 10 N	N	00 0 01 0) O	m	(N () ()) 4	ത്ര	Ö	M (0 m	1 4	45j. 	(M (4 4 10 4	. 4 . N	ą O	<u> </u>
OBSERVATION#	TREATMENT# 2			BSERVATION	BSERVATIO			BSERVATION	BSERVATION	NOT LY STOR		BSERVATION	BSERVATIO			BOETA ATION	BOERVATION			MOETA ATION			ESERVATION	BOERVATION		BSERVATION	BOERVATION	BSERVATION	BSERVATION	BOERVATION			BOERVATION	BOERVATIO	BSERVATION		ERVATION	SATIO	DOERVATION OF THE PROPERTY OF

	ዕ መ ተ ቁ	i ii	o i t
	0 +	11 1	ं - ं -
	in d) (4
BSERVATIO	(n	11	⊗. ©.
	IU N A N	II I	ભ ર ં ર
	າຜິ	l li	t N t N
BSERVATIO	n	11	i (d i t
BSERVATIO	<u>က</u> က	II	, u
DONE NOT IO	(T)	II	ં
TREATMENT#	m		
BSERVATIO	derej	H	6,
	O C	11 1	on t
BSERVATION DISTRIBUTION	ៗ ថ	li li	∕ ग } व
BSERVATION	רט:	li	
	បារ	11	rd . Vi l
	\ 00	li (i	4 4 0 4 4 0 4 0
BSERVATION	Û	II	4 0
	O :	11 1	° ,
	- (°		V - ·
	4 (7)	i II	- M
BSERVATION	ব ল	11	
BSERVATION	₩.	H	M (
	<u> </u>	li II	N O
BSERVATION		11	i (Vi i m
BSERVATION	Ü	11	
	Q ;	II	0 (
	7 (N O
BSERVATION	4 (A 4 (J)		0 (V
SSERVATION	Ų.	11	m in
	in N	II	in i
	1 C	11 11	n c
	y W	1 11	o m
BSERVATION	(N	H	ינו נונו
	0 : 0 :	11 1	W (
	- (C	11	i V
SSERVATION	1 M	II	
BSERVATION	प् (7)	II	ம் : மா
	ր մ	11 1	ຫຼາເ ໜ້າຄ
	0 0) N m
SERVATION	m m	II	,,
	(T) (N. m.o
) i t	1 11	⊣ (C.
WORRYANTON	· 정	H	i (n
SERVATION	(i)	II	٠.
	ব U ব :	11 1	H (
	i d U đ		១៩
SERVATION) <u> </u>	11	i di
	0 (li	N (
	љ С Ф 16	II II	* :
en de servicio de la composição de la co		The second second	

	<u> </u>		N	ĬŎ	ŏ	ŏ	Ň	مانسوره مانسوره
# -! :	42.7	() T	45.7	ų ų	37,68	0	ŋ	4 .0
1	11	H	H	H	11	11	H	11
7	(N IO	10 60	i) 4	iù Iù	iO O	M	ល	(n IO
こののいがくエートロン学	業のエーマラな国の四つ				CESERVATION#	OBSERVATION#	OBSERVATION#	の形の町下くかっている本

Ö	ંસં		N N	40°-	. N	. W	រ ប	U) t		i o	T C	4 F	រ () ()	- a	77	Ŵ.	4 0,0 0,0	, ,	. n	L U	Ü	7-4 E	m •	N u		i O	/	o T	N ∙ O •	7 Y	4 v-4	٠. د	٠.	ល លំព	գ գ Մ Մ) ຫຼ ໄມ່	4 U	e ទ	() () ()	4 4 0 0 0	n c Vu		STATE OF THE STATE
11	11 11	H	11	11 1	l II	H	H	11 1	l li	11	11	11 11	11	H	11	II	li I	i ii	li	II	II	11	II	11 1	1 11	#	ii	11	II	11 - 1	11	II	11	li	II II		11	H	ii	11	11 1	1	l II	TOTAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
y~4 (N (O	l ti	Ŋ	ኅ ው	CO	űn	<u></u>	ord or	4 00	i 첫 i ~	n	9 -	. <u>m</u>	ارا	о К	M I	N P) k	- 10 1 (V	8	N	00 N	(N)	0 r	9 (Y	M	Q Q	M M	ტ () 0) 0) (n	្ន		(V (গ ব কৈ ব	- ហ្ - ឋ							0 IO 4 IO	
SERVATIO		BSERVATION	ERVATIO	шμ		BSERVATIO	BOERVATION		BOERVATT.	BSERVATIO	BSERVATI	D Q C C M Y	BEERVATIO	BSERVATI	BOERVATI				BSERVATI	BSERVATI	SERVATI	DSERVATI				BSERVATI	DOERVATI	BSERVATI		≳ ≲	BSERVATION	SERVATION	BSERVATION	ZÓ		MSERVATION		BSERVATI	DOERVATION				OBSERVATION#	Andrew Colonia and the second second second to the second

OBSERVATION#	54	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	46.78
OBSERVATION#	55	***	39.06
OBSERVATION#	56	1112	38.74
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	57	2500	43.03
UBSEKVALIUNA	58	137	40.21
TREATMENT# 5			
OBSERVATION#	1	22	38.66
OBSERVATION#	2	****	47.76
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	3 4	**************************************	44.23 43.19
OBSERVATION#	5	-	44.81
OBSERVATION#	6	****	36.56
OBSERVATION#	7	:::: .	44.16
OBSERVATION#	8	60 500 61 700	45.85
OBSERVATION#	9	***	45.43
OBSERVATION#	10	495 m	39.6
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	11	===	43.87 45.8
OBSERVATION#	12 13	*****	41.43
OBSERVATION#	14	****	48
OBSERVATION#	15	222	47.15
OBSERVATION#	16	****	47.99
OBSERVATION#	17	****	36.25
OBSERVATION#	18	::::	47.69
OBSERVATION#	19		47.82
OBSERVATION#	20	225	44.21
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	21 22	****	43.63 47.62
OBSERVATION#	23	*****	41.15
OBSERVATION#	24	g::::	43.39
OBSERVATION#	25	:::2	47.8
OBSERVATION#	26	222	44.89
OBSERVATION#	27	===	39.9
OBSERVATION#	28		42.76
OBSERVATION#	29	must.	43.19
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	30 31	====	40.37 38.23
OBSERVATION#	32	*****	40.15
OBSERVATION#	33		39.85
OBSERVATION#	34	134# 131#	37.04
OBSERVATION#	35	enalts provis	43.83
OBSERVATION#	36	2000	42.05
OBSERVATION#	37	\$7.00°	35.52
OBSERVATION#	38	****	37.92
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	39		34.51 40.83
OBSERVATION#	41	****	42
OBSERVATION#	42	:::2	41.88
OBSERVATION#	43	===	43.97
OBSERVATION#	44	ms	41.4
OBSERVATION#	45	*****	38.61
OBSERVATION#	46		36.95
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	47 48	204P	38.23 41.48
OBSERVATION#	49	****	38.73
OBSERVATION#	50	10-100 41-007	40.79
OBSERVATION#	51	****	41.52
OBSERVATION#	52	24 000 63 2014	35.67
OBSERVATION#	53	===	38.28
OBSERVATION#	54	200	41.34
OBSERVATION#	55 =c	225	36.9 3
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	56 57	*****	40 42.69
WENT THE FLATMAN		energentinggigge	THE OF THE

DRREKVATION# 58 = 40,73 OBSERVATION# 59 = 40.61OBSERVATION# 60 = 36.426 4 SSTO= 4025.438 SSTR= 200.25 99E= 3825.198 MSTR= 50.0625 MSE= 13.1903 F= 3.795402 WILLIAMS NUMBER OF REPS IN CONTROL 2 NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 1 = 2 SD= 3.631845 NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 2 = 2 SD= 3.631845 NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 3 = 2 SD= 3.631845 NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 4 = 2 SD = 3.631845DATA ENTERED BY : Kelly A. Carr Initials: DATE : 04-09-1991

PROJECT# :

TEST SPECIES :

TOXICANT : PCNB

File name = lgth35d.RPT Date of report : 04-09-1991

ANOVA FOR UNEQUAL REPLICATES

TREATMENT# 1

```
OBSERVATION#
                         28.93
                         32.2
OBSERVATION#
                 2
                     *****
OBSERVATION#
                 Э
                         28.73
OBSERVATION#
                         28.42
                     *****
OBSERVATION#
                         27.98
                 5
OBSERVATION#
                         27.68
                 6
                     *****
OBSERVATION#
                 7
                         26.89
                         28.23
OBSERVATION#
                 8
OBSERVATION#
                         31.44
OBSERVATION#
                          30.32
                 10
                      *****
OBSERVATION#
                 11
                          29.58
OBSERVATION#
                  12
                      ****
                          31.42
OBSERVATION#
                          29.73
                 13
OBSERVATION#
                          26.92
                 14
                      ****
OBSERVATION#
                 15
                          30.47
OBSERVATION#
                          30.7
                  16
                      10057
OBSERVATION#
                 17
                          30.12
                      *174
OBSERVATION#
                          25.59
                 18
                      April 1
OBSERVATION#
                 19
                      *****
                          28.85
OBSERVATION#
                 20
                      *****
                          31.59
OBSERVATION#
                 21
                      ===
                          30,82
                 22
                          32.18
OBSERVATION#
                      11:5
OBSERVATION#
                 23
                          28.91
                          30.25
OBSERVATION#
                 24
                      ----
OBSERVATION#
                 25
                      *****
                          30.89
OBSERVATION#
                 26
                          27.77
                      7148<sup>1</sup>
OBSERVATION#
                 27
                          26.86
OBSERVATION#
                 28
                      30.48
                          29.14
OBSERVATION#
                 29
OBSERVATION#
                          32.73
                 30
                      ---
OBSERVATION#
                          29.1
                 31
OBSERVATION#
                          29.49
                 32
                      *****
OBSERVATION#
                 33
                      *****
                          28.24
OBSERVATION#
                 34
                          31.15
                      ===
OBSERVATION#
                 35
                          30.51
OBSERVATION#
                 36
                          28.8
                      *****
OBSERVATION#
                 37
                      :::::
                          27.29
OBSERVATION#
                 38
                      *****
                          24.82
OBSERVATION#
                 39
                      ****
                          32.05
OBSERVATION#
                 40
                          30.3
                      :::::
                 41
                          27.28
OBSERVATION#
                      ****
OBSERVATION#
                 42
                          31.69
OBSERVATION#
                          27.71
                 43
                      ****
                          28.79
OBSERVATION#
                 라라
```

ANOVA analysis of dength of dest animals on Day 35 r (using the Solvent Control as the Control concentration). YAC 4/23/91

UBSERVATIUN	45	90000 PEANS	28.17
OBSERVATION#	46	*****	29.35
OBSERVATION#	47	F2243	31.06
OBSERVATION#	48	****	29,26
OBSÉRVATION#	49	****	27.17
OBSERVATION#	50		26.67
OBSERVATION#	51	ACTION TORR	28.38
OBSERVATION#	52	::::	27.56
OBSERVATION#	53	222	28.01
OBSERVATION#	54	771 2	30.23
OBSERVATION#	55	****	31.14
OBSERVATION#	56	****	32.13
OBSERVATION#	57	*****	26.96
OBSERVATION#	58	====	29.81
OBSERVATION#	59	****	27.72
OBSERVATION#	60	::: :	32.3

OBSERVATION#	1	*****	29.87
OBSERVATION#	2	****	26.38
OBSERVATION#	3	5	28.79
OBSERVATION#	4		30.57
OBSERVATION#	ij	===	31.29
OBSERVATION#	6	****	31.66
OBSERVATION#	7	*****	27.76
OBSERVATION#	8	*****	31.58
OBSERVATION#	9	****	28.72
OBSERVATION#	10	2000A	31.23
OBSERVATION#	11	****	30.0i
OBSERVATION#	12	*****	28.12
OBSERVATION#	13	====	30.23
OBSERVATION#	14		30.34
OBSERVATION#	15	::::	30.69
OBSERVATION#	16	2022	31.38
OBSERVATION#	17	****	30.03
OBSERVATION#	18	2000	30.02
OBSERVATION#	19	***	29.64
OBSERVATION#	20	*****	30.03
OBSERVATION#	21		28.83
OBSERVATION#	22	3100	28.87
OBSERVATION#	23	a::	29.62
OBSERVATION#	24	1111	29.06
OBSERVATION#	25	****	30.66
OBSERVATION#	26	****	27.43
OBSERVATION#	27	===	28.32
OBSERVATION#	28	- 2022	28.99
OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#	29	****	28.21 26.14
OBSERVATION#	30 31	######################################	27.74
OBSERVATION#	32	****	28.11
OBSERVATION#	33	*****	30.59
OBSERVATION#	34		32.62
OBSERVATION#	35	****	31,41
OBSERVATION#	36	****	27.03
OBSERVATION#	37	****	27.36
OBSERVATION#	38	572	27.43
OBSERVATION#	39		29.96
OBSERVATION#	40	1112	28.51
OBSERVATION#	41		27.33
OBSERVATION#	42	122	27.98
OBSERVATION#	43		29.79
OBSERVATION#	44	;f==	29.73
OBSERVATION#	45	****	28.3
OBSERVATION#	46	*****	26.81
and the state of t	THE PERSON NAMED VALUE	COMPRES ALVE	gazenese "" " wasa " " " wasa

UBSERVALIUN#	4/ :	29.Ji
OBSERVATION#	49 :	= 29.67
OBSERVATION#	49 :	= 28.55
OBSERVATION#	50 :	= 27.89
OBSERVATION#	51 =	= 28.18
OBSERVATION#	52 :	= 28.7
OBSERVATION#	53 =	= 28.43
OBSERVATION#	54 =	= 27.79
OBSERVATION#	55	= 26.1
OBSERVATION#	56 =	= 29.72
OBSERVATION#	57 =	= 28.99
OBSERVATION#	58 :	= 31.21
OBSERVATION#	59 :	= 26.52
OBSERVATION#	60 =	27.65

transfiltuntatiff w			
OBSERVATION#	1	arbas signs	30.71
OBSERVATION#	2	entrar report	29.98
OBSERVATION#	3	****	29.2
OBSERVATION#	4		26.9
OBSERVATION#	5	2000	29.96
OBSERVATION#	6	#**** #****	31.46
OBSERVATION#	7	****	28.05
OBSERVATION#	8		26.43
OBSERVATION#	9	N-0794 03040	31.97
OBSERVATION#	10	****	29.74
OBSERVATION#	11	*****	29.85
OBSERVATION#	12	*****	30.08
OBSERVATION#	13		30.83
OBSERVATION#	14	*****	25.95
OBSERVATION#	15		29.44
		235	
OBSERVATION#	16 17	*****	30.79
OBSERVATION#		1111	29.02
OBSERVATION#	18	****	28.15
OBSERVATION#	19	*****	28.84
OBSERVATION#	20	*****	28.31
OBSERVATION#	21	122	28.22
OBSERVATION#	22	tat	29.63
OBSERVATION#	23	*****	28.72
OBSERVATION#	24	*****	29.58
OBSERVATION#	25	*****	30.14
OBSERVATION#	26	*****	28.57
OBSERVATION#	27	****	28.27
OBSERVATION#	28	11414 11414	30.21
OBSERVATION#	29	91150	28.67
OBSERVATION#	30	225	30.62
OBSERVATION#	31	P1464	25.2
OBSERVATION#	32		28.37
OBSERVATION#	33	****	29.75
OBSERVATION#	34	****	32.98
OBSERVATION#	35	11010	29.23
OBSERVATION#	36		28.88
OBSERVATION#	37	****	30.14
OBSERVATION#	38	2222	32.52
OBSERVATION#	39	****	29.72
OBSERVATION#	40	****	28.8
OBSERVATION#	41	::::	30.66
OBSERVATION#	42	::::	27.46
OBSERVATION#	43	***	25.14
OBSERVATION#	44	****	30.8
OBSERVATION#	45	*10** *****	31.83
OBSERVATION#	46	::::	30.32
OBSERVATION#	47	*****	34.04

OBSERVATION#

48

28.72

9000; 			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	₩₩₩ ₩₩₩			OBSERVATION# 50 = 32.13 OBSERVATION# 51 = 26.82 OBSERVATION# 52 = 28.03 OBSERVATION# 53 = 30.31 OBSERVATION# 54 = 31.01	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	11 11 11 11		UBSERVATION# OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION# OBSERVATION#
-----------	--	--	---------------------------------------	------------	--	--	---	--	-------------	--	--

Ţ

o o	i O	# ****	ů, H	ं N	਼	N O	ে ়	V. 0.40	S S	o.	7.0	o T	់		्र दि∶	2	-	: 12	=	24	*	#	2	*		#	*	N (8	# 1	: :	ं	œ	2	1	ů.	<u>.</u>	, .	22	<u>.</u>	_ ·	#	j,	, (j) (j)	j	N (70° 70°
ii	11	!!	ll	11	11	11	H	II	II	ij	II	H	İ	li	H	11		11	11	II	li	II	11	11	II	II	II	H	ll (1 11	H	II	!!	II	II	II	II	li	H	II	II	li	11	11	11 - 1	11	
જુનાનું -	N	M	7.	I	ω	<u>~</u>	œ	(j)	<u>_</u>	7-4 7-4	4	(1) —i	### ###	M.	1 Q.	<u> </u>) (T)	Q N	N	N	M	Q Q	N	N	7	O N	(T) (N	က (- (7 (9 (Y	(M)	വ	9	0	œ M	(n (n	੍ਰ ਦ	i	d M	(Y) · 참 ·				\ (ክ (ተ U	
ERVATIO	DOERVATT.	BSERV	BSERVATIO	BSERVATIO		BSERVATI(SERVATIO		SERV	BSERVATI	BONER VATI	BSERVATION	BSERVATIO	BSERVATIO		i i n u	ERVATION.	BSERVATIO	SERVATI	SERVATI	SERVA	SERV	BSERVATIO	SERVATION	BSERVATION	BOERVATION		BOERVATION			SERVATION	SERVATIO	SERVATIO	BSERVATIO	SERVATIO		SERVATIO										

#NDILVANESCO	OBSERVATI	OBSERVAT1	CHOMMOMIN	CHANAGED	CHOMEN AND THE	OBSERVAT!	DBSERVAT]	#NOIL WASSED	#NDILBANGED
		ON#	SP#	EN#				WAND!	
0	O)	CII CO	57	(JI	ហ		ω		; 3
II Ni	II N	II Ni	II r.:	11			,,		
N N N	N N N	90. 90. 90.	Ö. 99	<u> </u>	30:13	27,16	10 10	N 0 U	27.4

U

ANT THAN STATES OF THE STATES			SERVATION	SERVATI				MELVALION	ERVATION				OITEN				TTAVE	RVATI			33	ZVATI	WAT I			THAVE	TAVE	A 1 .		WATIO	TTAVE	AVATI	0	ZVATI	RVATI	NOTION	ROTTON				MERVATION MOTTON		GERVATIO
100] ហ្	ហ	Ō	ů;	7 O	n (j	. A A	Δ	A N	<u>.</u>	i (i) W	S	ω (σ (13 () 4 ()	. ω	ω N	W i	ω M) N 0 0	N	N	N 1	Λ (ω 4	N N	N	N :	₩ C	i T	ij	C/I	<u> </u>	i N	· fraile	$\ddot{\circ}$	ψĎ	œ	\	თ C	J i	7 (Y)	N	jesk
and the state of t	II	II	II	II	11 1		II	II	li	11 1		II	II	11	II IJ	ii	II	II	11	11	11	H	11 1	1	11	II	11	11 1		II	II	II		II	II	II	11	11	11 1	li I	l II	11	II
10	1 7 1 10	Ф • N	7.0	ω:) 	φn oc	Ž	Ci I	(T) :	V Š) \ 	. o	ψ. Θ	0.	V V V V O O	. V	9.7	V. N.	ψį	v v) () ()	e G	/ 1 መነ	7 †7 1 /	. 0	0	<u>ှ</u>	ш . Фи	1 %	7 1.9	N9.	o L	000 000 000	9.7	1	**** ****	ម្	Ψ.	٥٥	y i) N 0 0 - W - W	i i	ω ω

OBSERVATION# 53 = 28.68 OBSERVATION# 54 = 26.7 OBSERVATION# 55 = 28.44 OBSERVATION# 56 = 28.39 OBSERVATION# 57 = 23.08 OBSERVATION# 58 = 26.21 OBSERVATION# 59 = 26.85 OBSERVATION# 60 = 27.16	от от должно в <mark>объекто в объект на пост</mark> ол от
SSTO= 1044.922	
SSTR= 58.40625	
SSE= 986.5156	
MSTR= 14.60156	
MSE= 3.344121	
F= 4.366338	
WILLIAMS	
NUMBER OF REPS IN CONTROL 2	
NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 1	± 2
SD= 1.828694	
NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 2	= 2
SD= 1.828694	
NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 3	= 2
SD= 1.828694	
NUMBER OF REPS IN TREATMENT 4	= 2
SD= 1.828694	
DATA ENTERED BY : Kelly A. Car	r Initials:
DATE : 04-09-1991	
PROJECT# :	
TEST SPECIES :	
	The state of the s

TOXICANT : PCNB

File name = wt62d.RPT Date of report : 04-08-1991

ANOVA FOR UNEQUAL REPLICATES

TREATMENT# 1

```
OBSERVATION#
                        1.73
OBSERVATION#
                 2
                     ****
                         .85
OBSERVATION#
                 3
                         1.07
OBSERVATION#
                         1.11
OBSERVATION#
                        2.05
OBSERVATION#
                        1.55
                     ****
OBSERVATION#
                        1.37
OBSERVATION#
                 8
                        1.64
OBSERVATION#
                 -
                        1.23
OBSERVATION#
                 10
                      ----
                          1.69
OBSERVATION#
                 11
                          1.65
OBSERVATION#
                 12
                          1.17
OBSERVATION#
                 13
                          .82
OBSERVATION#
                          1.23
                 14
                      ****
OBSERVATION#
                 15
                         1.37
OBSERVATION#
                 16
                          1.3
OBSERVATION#
                 17
                          .81
OBSERVATION#
                 18
                      *****
                          1.59
OBSERVATION#
                 19
                          1.17
OBSERVATION#
                 20
                          1.88
                      ****
OBSERVATION#
                          1.76
                 21
OBSERVATION#
                 22
                          1.19
                      :::::
OBSERVATION#
                 23
                          .98
OBSERVATION#
                 24
                          .74
OBSERVATION#
                 25
                         1.68
OBSERVATION#
                 26
                          1.09
                      ****
OBSERVATION#
                 27
                         1.24
OBSERVATION#
                 28
                          1.46
                      ----
OBSERVATION#
                 29
                         1,33
OBSERVATION#
                 30
                         1.94
                      *****
OBSERVATION#
                 31
                         1.22
OBSERVATION#
                 32
                         . 82
OBSERVATION#
                         1.33
OBSERVATION#
                         1.06
                 34
                      -
OBSERVATION#
                 35
                         . 88
                         1.52
OBSERVATION#
                 26
                      *****
OBSERVATION#
                 37
                         1.16
OBSERVATION#
                 38
                      ....
                         1.6
OBSERVATION#
                 39
                      ****
                         .67
OBSERVATION#
                 40
                         1.22
                      224
OBSERVATION#
                         2.29
                 41
                      ::::
OBSERVATION#
                 42
                         1.2
OBSERVATION#
                 43
                      :::::
                         1.07
OBSERVATION#
                 44
                      ****
                         1.51
```

ANOVA analysis of the weight of the yest animals on Day led post-hatch (day 95 of the test) using Solvent Control for the control concentration XAC 4/23/91

er.	UBSERVA	TIUNF	45	*****	. 95
	OBSERVA	ATION#	46	:::::	1.38
	OBSERVA	#MOITE	47	*****	1.2
	OBSERVA	YTION#	48	*****	1.02
	OBSERVA	#MOIT	49	:::::	1.91
	OBSERVA	YTION#	50	, :::::	1.51
	OBSERVA	ATION#	51	ffere man	.63
	OBSERVA	#MOIT/	52	****	1.88
	OBSERVA	#MOIT	53	*****	1.77
	OBSERVA	ATION#	54	****	. 77
	OBSERVA	ATION#	55	111	1.2
	OBSERVA	YTION#	56	****	. 95
	OBSERVA	#MOIT	57	14504	1.04
	OBSERVA	*MOIT	58		1.51
	OBSERVA	#MOIT	59	NAT 14	.8

OBSERVATION#	1	*****	1.43
OBSERVATION#	2	****	.98
OBSERVATION#	3	*****	1.34
OBSERVATION#	4		1.22
OBSERVATION#	5	****	1.07
OBSERVATION#	6	****	1.65
OBSERVATION#	7	Page 1	
	•		1.66
OBSERVATION#	8	****	1.54
OBSERVATION#	9	poste games	1
OBSERVATION#	10	*****	1.56
OBSERVATION#	11	2000	.99
OBSERVATION#	12	1000- 10140	1.05
OBSERVATION#	13	1322	. 97
OBSERVATION#	14	===	1.59
OBSERVATION#	15	*****	1.46
OBSERVATION#	16	****	1.33
OBSERVATION#	17	2777	1.24
OBSERVATION#	18		.83
OBSERVATION#	19	****	. 88
OBSERVATION#	20	:::::	1.37
OBSERVATION#	21	****	1.46
OBSERVATION#	22	****	1.62
OBSERVATION#			
	23	****	.8
OBSERVATION#	24	*****	1.35
OBSERVATION#	25	512	1.17
OBSERVATION#	26	232	1.1
OBSERVATION#	27	1911	1.47
OBSERVATION#	28	323	1.36
OBSERVATION#	29	:::::	1.74
OBSERVATION#	30	****	1.44
OBSERVATION#	31	2000	1.29
OBSERVATION#	32	*****	1.27
OBSERVATION#	33	222	1.55
OBSERVATION#	34	*****	. 85
OBSERVATION#	35	532	. 88
OBSERVATION#	36	====	1.44
OBSERVATION#	37	::::: .	.71
OBSERVATION#	38		.85
OBSERVATION#	39	*****	1.27
OBSERVATION#	40	****	1.99
OBSERVATION#	41	****	.9
OBSERVATION#			
	42	****	1.2
OBSERVATION#	43	*****	1.27
OBSERVATION#	44	****	. 67
OBSERVATION#	45	2100	,94
OBSERVATION#	46	*****	1.58
TOURSENIATIONS	.4 "7	*****	·5 Jeen

OBSERVATION#

1.32

47

:::::

and with the fraction of the second s	Still Marian	September History	A COUNTY OF THE PERSON AND PARTY OF THE PERSON AND PAR
UBSERVÄLLU	V# 4	⇔	1.00
OBSERVATIO	V# 4	9 ==	1.32
OBSERVATION	N# 5	0 ==	, 99
OBSERVATIO	N# 5	1 ==	1.02
OBSĚŘVATIO	N# 5	2 ===	1.97
OBSERVATIO	N# 5	3. ==	1.12
		••••	de W etc Ace
OBSERVATION	N# 5	4 ==	1.11
OBSERVATION	V# 5	Co.	1.3
mynmini. Atul i Trini	A 32 m	*i	A 4 4.3
OBSERVATION	N# 5	6 =	1
Jan. 1744, Jan. 2004 200, 8 8 36, 73744 414 3444 4		***	1. 100 100
OBSERVATION	V# 5	/ ===	1.32
OBSERVATION	N# 5	9 ==	1.02
			MY 16 -34" MANY
OBSERVATION	V# 5	9 ==	1.21

OBSERVATION# 1 ***** 1.52 **OBSERVATION#** 2 1.07 ::::: **OBSERVATION#** 3 1.34 panes longs **OBSERVATION#** έij, 1.56 **OBSERVATION#** S 1.35 **** **OBSERVATION#** 6 1.65 **OBSERVATION#** 7 1.37 ***** **OBSERVATION#** 8 1.06 P-00-1 **OBSERVATION#** 9 2.08 :::: **OBSERVATION**# . 41 10 **OBSERVATION#** 1.25 11 **** **OBSERVATION#** 12 **** . 91 **OBSERVATION#** 13 **** .88 **OBSERVATION#** 14 1.54 **OBSERVATION#** 15 1.43 == **OBSERVATION#** 16 :::: 1.64 **OBSERVATION#** 17 1.31 475M **OBSERVATION#** 18 1.34 **OBSERVATION#** 19 1.15 **** **OBSERVATION#** 20 1.26 **** **OBSERVATION#** 21 1.11 ***** **OBSERVATION#** 1.26 22 ***** **OBSERVATION#** 23 1.12 :::: **OBSERVATION#** 24 **** 1.19 **OBSERVATION#** 25 21200 1.02 OBSERVATION# .89 26 ::::: **OBSERVATION#** 27 === 1.24 **OBSERVATION#** 28 **** 1.44 **OBSERVATION#** 29 **** 1.24 **OBSERVATION#** 30 1.36 ----**OBSERVATION#** 31 ***** 1.3 **OBSERVATION#** 32 ***** .56 **OBSERVATION#** 33 :::: 1.67 .91 **OBSERVATION#** 34 222 **OBSERVATION#** 35 ***** 1,28 **OBSERVATION#** 36 1.36 ----**OBSERVATION#** 37 :::: 1.07 **OBSERVATION#** 38 **** 1.51 **OBSÉRVATION#** 39 1.52 **** **OBSERVATION#** 40 2... 2.07 **OBSERVATION#** 1.23 41 :::: **OBSERVATION#** 42 1.92 **** **OBSERVATION#** 43 ---1.75 **OBSERVATION#** 44 :::: 1.23 **OBSERVATION#** 45 .88 :::: **OBSERVATION#** 46 === 1.27 **OBSERVATION#** 47 1.61 **** **OBSERVATION#** 48 ::::; 1.89

OBSERVATION#

OBSERVATION#

.73

1.53

49

50

```
51
                           1.52
UBSERVALIUN#
                       100
OBSERVATION#
                  52
                           1.56
                       ----
                  53
OBSERVATION#
                       220
                           1.07
OBSERVATION#
                  54
                       ****
                           1.83
OBSERVATION#
                  55
                       ****
                           1.34
OBSERVATION#
                  56
                       ....
                           1,89
OBSERVATION#
                  57
                           1.05
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  58
                       ****
                           1.9
OBSERVATION#
                  59
                           1.46
TREATMENT#
OBSERVATION#
                         1.55
OBSERVATION#
                  2
                     ****
                         1.32
OBSERVATION#
                  3
                     ....
                         1.03
OBSERVATION#
                  :1
                     ****
                         1.42
OBSERVATION#
                         2.19
OBSERVATION#
                  6
                     *****
                         1.06
OBSERVATION#
                  7
                         1.37
                         .83
OBSERVATION#
                  8
                     -
                  9
OBSERVATION#
                         1.43
                           1.89
OBSERVATION#
                  10
                       ----
OBSERVATION#
                  11
                       ****
                           1
                  12
OBSERVATION#
                       ----
                           ,99
OBSERVATION#
                  13
                           1.47
OBSERVATION#
                  14
                       ****
                           1.14
OBSERVATION#
                  15
                           1.24
                           1.5
OBSERVATION#
                  16
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  17
                           1
                           1.27
OBSERVATION#
                  18
                       ....
OBSERVATION#
                  19
                           1.14
                       ::::
                  20
                           1.14
OBSERVATION#
                       ----
OBSERVATION#
                  21
                           1.36
                  22
                           2.11
OBSERVATION#
                       ****
OBSERVATION#
                  23
                           1.9
OBSERVATION#
                  24
                           1.11
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  25
                           1.32
OBSERVATION#
                  26
                           .82
                       ****
OBSERVATION#
                  27
                           1.94
                           1.57
                  28
OBSERVATION#
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  29
                           1.72
OBSERVATION#
                  30
                       ---
                           1.65
OBSERVATION#
                  31
                           1.5
OBSERVATION#
                  32
                           .92
                       ===
OBSERVATION#
                  33
                       ****
                           1.08
OBSERVATION#
                  34
                           1.45
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  35
                       ::::
                           1.68
OBSERVATION#
                  36
                       ****
                           1.16
OBSERVATION#
                  37
                       )1300
00018
                           1.36
OBSERVATION#
                  38
                       :::::
                           .99
OBSERVATION#
                  39
                           1.02
OBSERVATION#
                  40
                       *****
                           1.16
OBSERVATION#
                  41
                       ****
                           .85
OBSERVATION#
                  42
                       ****
                           1.39
OBSERVATION#
                  43
                       ===
                           1.17
OBSERVATION#
                  44
                       *****
                           1.21
                  45
                           1.19
OBSERVATION#
                       -
OBSERVATION#
                           1.33
                  46
                           1.58
OBSERVATION#
                  47
                       ****
OBSERVATION#
                  48
                       ===
                           1.29
OBSERVATION#
                  49
                           1.29
                       ****
                  50
OBSERVATION#
                       ===
                           1.2
OBSERVATION#
                  51
                           .81
OBSERVATION#
                  .81
                       *****
OBSERVATION#
                  53
                           1.47
```

OBSERVATION# 58		ere de militar de la companie de la	entre de la companya	
OBSERVATION# 59 OBSERVATION# 60				
8 4	77 dest Spekin			
SSTO= 34.10583				
SSTR= 2.596313				
SSE= 31.50952				
MSTR= .6490784				
MSE= .1086535				
F= 5.973836				
WILLIAMS				
NUMBER OF REPS IN	CONTROL 2			
NUMBER OF REPS IN	TREATMENT	1 ==	3	
SD= .3296264				
NUMBER OF REPS IN	TREATMENT	en en	200	
SD= ,3296264				
NUMBER OF REPS IN	TREATMENT	3 =	2	
SD= .3296264				
NUMBER OF REPS IN	TREATMENT	4 ==	·····. si	
SD= .3296264				
NATA ENTEREN DV	Valle A C		* par 2 de 2 m 9 .	
DATA ENTERED BY :	relly M. L	arr 1	.nitlaf	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
DATE: 04-09-1991				

PROJECT# :

TEST SPECIES :

TOXICANT : PCNB

	- - - - - - -	II	57	
		11 11	មា មេ	
		II	I UI	
		11	(N) (N)	OERVATION
	0 0	11 11	in in	
		II	U	SERVATION
		11 1	0 0 1	
		1 11	ν 4 0	
		II	ů.	
	ಂ		OI I	
			() 4 4	
		11	ħ	
		II	Д. Н	
		II I	4 (O u	
	ը գ գ	11	ပ စ် ထ	OBSERVATION#
		ll i	ω c	
	- Ū) យ () ()	
	ω	II	ω	SERVATION
		11 1	ω 6 ω Ν	NOILEANA Noilean
		1 11	រ ស្	
		II	ω !	
	Ñ↓	ll I	и и О Ф	
		1 11) N 0 V	
	m	li	N M	SERVATION
		11 1	N N	
	.4.		y W	
		li	N N	
		li i	N N H C	
		ii II	ა <u>⊢</u> ა დ	
		H	: 00	
			<u> </u>	
	, t . t	1 11	η (N	
		II	<u> </u>	MERVATION
	4	ll I	w r	
	-4 10 10 10 10	ii . II	سو د سو ن	
) (N	II	· -	
	() (() (II	ω (DERVATION
		11 1	w \	
	· Fi	1 11	400	WERVATION
	. 78	II	СП	SERVATION
	75	II	₽ (
		II . II	JN	
	i A	11	i int	
				TREATMENT# 5
	ս։ Խ ա	11 11	យូ ។	
	w	II	ហ	
		11 1	() i	
Section of the Control of the Contro	properties constant some series	and the second second	The second second	in politica su de la companya de companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la company

.